

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/634,138	08/04/2003	Kenneth W. Stearns		5087		
759	03/07/2005		EXAMINER			
Mark A. Krull .		•	CROW, ST	CROW, STEPHEN R		
P.O. Box 7198						
Bend, OR 97708			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3764 .			

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	P			
Office Action Summary		10/634,13	88	STEARNS ET AL.	ϵ			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Steve R. C		3764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE MAIL - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Communication of the mailing date of this communication reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by ceived by the Office later than three months after the not term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event on. , a reply within the statuperiod will apply and wistatute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Res	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition o	f Claims							
4a) C 5)	· <u> </u>							
Application P	apers							
9)∏ The s	specification is objected to by the Exa	ıminer.						
10)□ The c	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119			·				
a)	Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No Id in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)			_					
	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	0)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal Pa		9-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/634,138

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6629909. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of their common disclosures and the recited decoupling means is claimed in the 6629909 patent and the particular structure is found in the Specification..

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve R. Crow whose telephone number is 571-272-4973. The examiner can normally be reached on Reg:8:30-6;Off First Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,138

Art Unit: 3764

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 571-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SC

STEPHEN R. CROW PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 332

Page 3